# Air Pollution Training Institute Course 413: Control of Particulate Matter Emissions



# **STUDENT WORKBOOK**

### **UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

Office of Air and Radiation Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards Research Triangle Park, NC 27711

*Prepared and Presented by:* William J. Franek, PhD, P.E., DEE and Louis DeRose J.D., M.S., P.E.

€PA

April, 2021

# Course 413 Control of Particulate Matter Emissions

April 26 - 30, 2021

# AGENDA

LOCATION CenSARA Interne	<b>INSTRUCTOR</b> William J, Franek, Ph.D., P.E. D	
"Virtual"	Louis De	eRose: J.D., M.S., P.E.
DAY & TIME	SUBJECT	SPEAKER
Monday		
9:00	Welcome and Registration	W. Franek
9:15 10:45	Review of Basic Concepts BREAK	L. DeRose
11:00	Particulate Matter Formation and Regulation	L. DeRose
12:30	Particle Sizing	W. Franek
1:00	ADJOURN	
HOMEWORK: Read	Chapters 1-4, Student Manual; Review Problems	
Tuesday		
9:00	Particle Sizing (cont.)	W. Franek
10:00	Particle Collection Mechanisms	L. DeRose
10:45	BREAK	
11:00	Particle Collection Mechanism (cont.)	L. DeRose
11:45	Settling Chambers	L. DeRose
12:15	Cyclones	W. Franek
1:00	ADJOURN	

HOMEWORK: Read Chapters 5-7, Student Manual; Review Problems

## Wednesday

9:00	Cyclones (cont'd)	W. Franek
9:45	Fabric Filters	W. Franek
10:45	BREAK	
11:00	Fabric Filters (cont'd)	W. Franek
1:00	ADJOURN	

	DAY	&	TIME
--	-----	---	------

# SUBJECT

SPEAKER

# Thursday

9:00	Fabric Filters (cont'd)	W. Franek
9:30	Wet Scrubbers	W. Franek
10:45	BREAK	
11:00	Wet Scrubbers (cont'd)	W. Franek
12:00	Electrostatic Precipitators	L. DeRose
1:00	ADJOURN	

HOMEWORK: Read Chapters 8-10, Student Manual; Review Problems

# Friday

9:00	<b>Electrostatic Precipitators</b>	L. DeRose
10:30	BREAK	
10:45	Hoods and Fans	W. Franek
1:00	ADJOURN	
William J. Franek, Ph.D., P.E., DE	Е	Louis DeRose: J.D., M.S., P.E.
William J. Franek, LLC		Attorney at Law
6807 West 64 <sup>th</sup> Place		221 Orchard Lane
Chicago, IL 60638		Glen Ellyn, IL 60137
Tel: 3 1 2 -919-0341		E-mail: louderose@yahoo.com

E-mail: <u>billfranek@gmail.com</u>











Group	T <sub>std</sub>
JSEPA (General)	68°F (20°C)
USEPA (Air monitoring)	77°F (25°C)
ndustrial hygiene	70°F (21.1°C)
Combustion	60°F (15.6°C)
Science	32°F (0°C)

### Example 1-1

The gas temperature in the stack of a wet scrubber system is 130°F. What is the absolute temperature in Rankine and Kelvin?

Absolute Temp.  $^{\circ}R = 460^{\circ}R + 130^{\circ}F = 590^{\circ}R$ 590°R

Absolute Temp. K = 
$$\frac{350 \text{ K}}{1.8}$$
 = 327.8K



### **Standard Pressure**

Units	Value
Atmosphere (atm)	1
Pounds force per square inch (psi)	14.70
Inches of mercury (in Hg)	29.92
Millimeters of mercury (mm Hg)	760
Feet of water column (ft WC)	33.92
Inches of water column (in WC)	407
Kilopascals (kPa)	101.3
Millibars (mb)	1013





















































### **Review Problems**

1. The flows from Ducts A and B are combined into a single Duct C. The flow rate in Duct A is 5,000 scfm, the gas stream temperature is  $350^{\circ}$ F and the static pressure is -32 in WC. The flow rate in Duct B is 4,000 acfm, the gas stream temperature is  $400^{\circ}$ F and the static pressure is -35 in WC.

What is the flow rate in Duct C? Assume a barometric pressure of 29.15 in Hg. (see page 6)





From Appendix B, the density of air at 20°C is 1.20 x 10-3 g/cm3 and the viscosity is 1.80 x 10-4 g/cm(sec)







### How Pollutants Enter the Body

- Contact with skin or eyes
- Ingestion
- Inhalation
  - most common for air pollutants





- <u>Large</u> particles:
   Impaction (nasal hairs & bends of passages)
- <u>Smaller</u> particles (1 to 10 microns):
  Windpipe (can't follow streamline)
- <u>Smallest</u> particles (< 1 micron):
  - Alveoli
  - Can take weeks or months to remove





### **Effects on Respiratory System**

- Bronchitis (inflammation of airways)
- Pulmonary emphysema (lungs lose elasticity)
- Pneumoconiosis (chronic inflammation of lungs)
- Lung cancer

### **Health Effects of Particulate Matter**

- Increased respiratory illness
- Aggravation of respiratory conditions, i.e. asthma
- Decreased lung function
- Chronic bronchitis

different chemical compositions.

• Premature death in people with heart/lung disease

An extensive body of scientific evidence shows that short- or long-term <u>exposures to fine particles</u> can cause adverse cardiovascular effects, including heart attacks and strokes resulting in hospitalizations and, in some cases, premature death.

### Environmental Effects of Particulate Matter

- visibility impairment,
- effects on materials (e.g., building surfaces),
- climate impacts, and
- ecological effects







## PM<sub>2.5</sub>: Composition and Sources

- Directly emitted particles:
  - <u>Crustal</u>
    - Sources: unpaved roads, agriculture & high wind events
    - Mostly larger than 2.5 microns
  - <u>Carbonaceous</u>
    - Sources: all types of combustion
- *Secondary particles* (chemical transformation of gaseous pollutants):
  - Ammonium sulfate and ammonium nitrate
  - Secondary organics (from VOCs)











### **Particle Formation Mechanisms**

- Physical attrition occurs when two surfaces rub together & yields small particles that break off.
- Combustion: As oxidation progresses, the fuel particles, (100-1,000 mm), are reduced to ash and char particles that are primarily in the 1 to 10 mm range (i.e. boiler).
- Droplet Evaporation: When solids containing water is atomized during injection into the hot gas streams, these small droplets evaporate & the suspended solids are released as small particles.
- Homogeneous nucleation and heterogeneous nucleation involve the conversion of vapor phase materials to a particulate matter form.
  - · Homogeneous nucleation is the formation of new particles composed almost entirely of the vapor phase material
  - · Heterogeneous nucleation is the accumulation of material on the surfaces of particles that have formed due to other mechanisms

### **Heterogeneous Nucleation** A consequence of heterogeneous nucleation is that the metals (volatilized during high temperature operations) are deposited (nucleate) in small quantities on the surfaces of a large number of small particles. In this form, the metals <u>are</u> available to participate in

catalytic reactions with gases or other vapor phase materials that are continuing to nucleate.









### Donora Episode: Oct. 26, 1948 Start of a 5 day temperature inversion 50% of all residents sick (6,000 people) Chest pains, cough & labored breathing · Irritation in eyes, nose and throat • 20 people died Furnaces not shut down until the last day Zinc furnaces like coke ovens were not allowed to stop, once cooled it cannot be restarted. Town doctor told everyone to leave town • Many went to a park high on a hill, as soon as they rose above smog, they started to feel better.

# Donora: Investigations resulted, but none could produce direct evidence of air pollution's harm.

Surgeon General, Scheele, wrote in the report's foreword: "This study is the opening move ...in improving the nations health. We have realized during our growing impatience with the <u>annoyance of smoke</u>, that pollution from gases, fumes & microscopic particles was also a factor to be reckoned with."



### **Contaminant Regulations**

- Prior to1950 some states and local agencies enacted particulate pollutant control regulations (opacity) & were not aware of gaseous contaminants effects such as SO<sub>2</sub>, VOCs, and HF.
- The <u>environmental awareness</u> that began to increase during the 1950s and 1960s culminated in the enactment of the Clean Air Act of 1970.

### **Federal Legislative Landmarks**

- 1955 Air Poll. Control Act: Fed research funding
- · Debates: Fed or state responsibility
- 1963 CAA: (compromise) Funding for state air programs
- 1965 CAAA: Auto emission stds. (CO & HxCx)
- · Debates: national stds. vs. regional stds.
  - ambient air stds. vs. emission stds.
- <u>1967 Air Quality Act</u>: States set regional air quality stds. based
- on federal air quality criteria
   States failed to set stds., collect ambient air data & conduct emission inventories (21 SIPs submitted; none approved)
- · HEW (understaffed) failed to set air quality control regions
- <u>1970 CAAA</u>: (sharply increased fed authority)
   Uniform NAAQS, SIP, NSPS, NESHAP, & mobile sources

27



### NAAQS

- 6 criteria pollutants:
  - +  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{2}}$  , CO,  $\mathrm{SO}_{\mathrm{2}}$  , Ozone, Lead. PM10 & PM2.5
  - <u>https://www.epa.gov/criteria-air-pollutants/naaqs-table</u>
- <u>Primary</u> standard: (public health)
   "adequate margin of safety" to protect people regardless of age, health etc.
- <u>Secondary</u> standard: (public welfare)
- EPA cannot consider "<u>costs</u>" of implementation in setting the standard.
- EPA to review NAAQS every 5 years

Natio	nal An	nbient Air	Quality S	tandards
Pollutan	t Aver	aging Time	Primary	Secondary
PM-2.5	(2012)	Annual	12 µg/m <sup>3</sup>	None
PM-2.5	(2006)	Annual	None	15 µg/m <sup>3</sup>
PM-2.5	(2006)	24-hour	35 µg/m <sup>3</sup>	Same
PM-10	(1987)	24-hour	150 µg/m <sup>3</sup>	Same
$SO_2$	(2010)	1-hour	75 ppb	None
	(1971)	3-hour	None	500 ppb
CO	(1971)	8-hour	9 ppm	None
	(1971)	1-hour	35 ppm	None
Ozone	(2015)	8-hour/day	0.070 ppm	Same
NO <sub>2</sub>	(2010)	1-hour/day	100 ppb	None
	(1971)	Annual	53 ppb	Same
Lead	(2008)	3mo. average	0.15 µg/m <sup>3</sup>	Same

### **PM Standards Have Changed Over Time**

- 1971: EPA set standards covering all sizes of airborne particles, known as a "total suspended particulate, TSP"
- 1987: EPA changed the standards to focus on particles 10 micrometers in diameter and smaller (<u>PM10</u>)
   –EPA set both 24-hour and annual PM10 standards at that time
- 1997: Added new fine particles indicator <u>PM2.5</u> (set initial 24-hr standard & an annual standard)
   Retained PM10 standards
- 2006: EPA maintained both PM standards:
  - Fine particles: Revised level of 24-hour PM2.5 standard (65 to 35 μg/m3) and retained level of annual PM2.5 standard (15 μg/m3)
  - Coarse particles: retained 24-hour PM10 standard and revoked annual PM10 standard

### New Particulate Standard (12/14/12)

- <u>Strengthened</u> the primary annual standard for fine particles (PM2.5) to 12 μg/m<sup>3</sup> from 15 μg/m<sup>3</sup>.
- <u>Retained</u> the existing primary **24-hour** standard for fine particles (PM2.5) at **35 μg/m3**.
- <u>Retained</u> the existing primary 24-hour standard for coarse particles (PM10) of 150 μg/m3.
- <u>Retained</u> all the existing <u>secondary standards</u> • (2006) PM2.5 & (1987) PM10 secondary standards.
- Attainment: 2020-2025 (depends on severity of problem).

Summar	y of	New	2012	NAAQS	6 for PM <sub>10</sub> & PM <sub>2.5</sub>
		primary	1 year	12.0 µg/m <sup>3</sup>	annual mean, averaged over 3 years
	DM	secondary	1 year	15.0 µg/m <sup>3</sup>	annual mean, averaged over 3 years
Particle Pollution (PM)	1 112,5	primary and secondary	24 hours	35 µg/m <sup>3</sup>	98th percentile, averaged over 3 years
	PM <sub>10</sub>	primary and secondary	24 hours	150 µg/m <sup>3</sup>	Not to be exceeded more than once per year on average over 3 years

### **Air Quality Control Regions**

### Attainment

- Any area that meets the NAAQS
- Nonattainment
  - Any area that <u>does not meet primary and</u> <u>secondary NAAQS</u> for that pollutant
- Unclassifiable
  - Any area with <u>insufficient air quality data</u> to determine the status for that area













### **State Implementation Plan (SIP)**

- A <u>SIP is</u> the air pollution measures & strategies adopted by a state & approved by EPA for attaining and maintaining the NAAQS.
- <u>Particulate matter regulations were adopted</u> <u>by the states</u> and local agencies to implement the SIP control strategies.
- These particulate matter <u>emission limitations</u> <u>took many regulatory forms</u>, many of which are still in effect today.



		facilities	
Category	Fuel Type	Emission Limit	Reduction Requirement
Particulate Matter	Solid	0.015 lb <sub>m</sub> /10 <sup>6</sup> Btu <sup>A</sup>	99.9%
SO <sub>2</sub>	Liquid	1.4 lb <sub>m</sub> /MWh	95%
SO <sub>2</sub>	Coal Refuse	1.4 lb <sub>m</sub> /MWh	94%
		<0.6 lb <sub>m</sub> /10 <sup>6</sup> Btu	70%
NO <sub>x</sub>	Solid	0.5 lb <sub>m</sub> /10 <sup>6</sup> Btu	65%
NOx	Liquid	0.3 lb <sub>m</sub> /10 <sup>6</sup> Btu	30%
NOx	Gas	0.2 lb <sub>m</sub> /10 <sup>6</sup> Btu	20%
NO <sub>x</sub>		1.0 lb <sub>m</sub> /MWh	
NO <sub>x</sub>	Liquid Backup Fuel <sup>B</sup>	1.5 lb <sub>m</sub> /MWh	
The owner/op ay elect to comp	erator of a facility with a P Iy with an alternate 0.14 I	M Continuous Emission Monito b <sub>m</sub> /MWh standard.	oring System (CEMS)

### Minn. Process Weight-Based PM Emission Regulation

- Example: particulate matter emissions from equipment to which no specific state rule or federal regulation apply are limited under the general "Industrial Process Equipment Rule" (Minn. R. 7011.0700 - 7011.0735). The rule includes a maximum limit that is never to be exceeded.
- For  $P \le 60,000 \text{ lb/hr}$  E = 3.59 x (P ÷ 2000)<sup>0.62</sup>
- For P > 60,000 lb/hr E = 17.31 x (P ÷ 2000)<sup>0.16</sup>
- P = process weight rate, in lb/hr
  - "Process weight rate" as defined in the rules is the total weight in a given time period of all materials introduced into any industrial process equipment that may cause any emission of particulate matter.
- E = particulate emission rate, in lb/hr





### Hazardous Air Pollutants: 1990 Amendments

- Congress <u>lists 189 substances</u> as HAP
  EPA can add or delete
- EPA to list sources of HAP
  - 174 major and 8 area sources
- EPA to establish a control *technology -based* <u>emission standards</u> (MACT)
  - 25% in 2 yrs; 50% in 7 yrs; all in 10 yrs.
- <u>Residual Risks</u> program
  - 8 yrs. after MACT: EPA required to pass *healthbased* emission standards if necessary (based on a EPA conducted risk assessment)

# Maximum Achievable Control Technology (MACT) <u>Major source</u>: any stationary source that has the potential to emit *more* than: 10 tpy of a listed HAP, or 25 tpy of a combination of listed HAP All HAP <u>major</u> sources must <u>meet MACT</u> <u>Technology</u>-based & <u>costs</u> considered New sources Use technology-based control standard based on *best controlled similar sources*Existing sources Use technology-based control standard based on *best controlled 12% of existing sources*MACT will require the high efficiency control of HAPs that may be a constituent of the particulate matter.

• A number of NESHAPs use PM as a surrogate emissions limit rather than emissions limits for individual HAPs.

### New Source Performance Standards (NSPS)

Table 2-2. Examples of NSPS with PM limits			
Source Category	Subpart		
Industrial-Commercial-Institutional Steam			
Generating Units	Db		
Small Industrial-Commercial-Institutional Steam			
Generating Unit	Dc		
Large Municipal Waste Combustors	Eb		
Hospital/Medical/Infectious Waste Incinerators	Ec		
Portland Cement Plants	F		
Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities	I		
Petroleum Refineries	J		
Secondary Brass and Bronze Production Plants	Μ		
Secondary Emissions From Basic Oxygen			
Process Steelmaking	Na		
Sewage Treatment Plants	0		
Kraft Pulp Mills	BB		
Glass Manufacturing Plants	CC		

### **New Source Review**

### (PSD) Prevention of Significant Deterioration

- Attainment areas or Unclassifiable areas only
- "Major" = 250 tpy or 100 tpy (in 28 listed categories)
- In a "major modification," significant emission rate is PM2.5 = 10 tpy & PM10 = 15 tpy
- Best Available Control Technology (BACT)

### <u>Non-attainment New Source Review</u>

- Non-attainment areas only
- "Major" = 100 tpy
  - Non-attainment classification can lower "Major" to 70tpy
- Lowest Achievable Emission Rate (LAER)

### **BACT & LAER Determination Example**

- Control A: 60% efficient @ cost = \$50,000/yr.
- Control B: 90% efficient @ cost = \$60,000/yr.
- Control C: 94% efficient @ cost = \$90,000/yr.
- Control B would be BACT because it is the most *cost effective* for tons of pollutant removed.
- Control C: may be LAER because it is the "most stringent emission limitation ...achievable in practice" by similar sources.

### **Title V**

- <u>1990 CAAA created</u> the Title V Operating Permit Program
- <u>Purpose</u> of Title V Permit is to specify all the CAA "applicable requirements" under one permit.
- All <u>Major Sources</u> stationary sources must obtain a Title V permit
  - This includes any <u>CAA air pollutant ≥ 100 tons/yr.</u> (except GHGs)
- Title V required "periodic monitoring:" For example, for an uncontrolled glass furnace with a 20% opacity standard and a 0.04 gr/scf PM emission limit, a state might determine that periodic monitoring is a weekly visible emission reading for the opacity standard and an annual stack test for the emission limit.

# Transport Rules

- <u>2005</u>: EPA passed **Clean Air Interstate Rule (CAIR)** to limit the interstate transport of emissions of  $NO_{\chi}$  and  $SO_2$  from <u>power plants</u> that <u>contribute</u> to fine particle matter (PM<sub>2.5</sub>) and ozone in downwind states.
  - $NO_x$  and  $SO_2$  contributes to fine PM formation &  $NO_x$  contributes to  $O_3$  formation.
- <u>2011</u> EPA replace CAIR with the **Cross State Air Pollution Rule (CSAPR)** to achieve emission reductions beyond those originally required by CAIR.
- Both transport rules required certain states to utilize cap & trade programs to limit annual  $\rm NO_x$  and  $\rm SO_2$  emissions by 2015.

53



### Title IV: Acid Rain Program

### SO<sub>2</sub> emission reduction program

- National emission cap: 8.95 million tpy
- Electric utility power plants
- Use "cap and trade" program
- Phase I (1995) applied to largest coal-fired power plants (2.5 # SO<sub>2</sub>/ mm Btu)
- Phase II (2000) applied to all remaining affected units & put more stringent emission limits on Phase I sources

### • NOx emission reduction program

• Placed emission limits on certain utility & nonutility coal-fired units

2019





Program are both cap and trade programs designed to reduce emissions of SO2 and NOx from power plants.

https://www3.epa.gov/airmarkets/progr ess/reports/index.html









### 1977 CAAA "Visibility Protection" CAA δ169A

- δ169A required each state containing a Class I area & other states that cause a visibility impairment at a Class I area to develop SIPs which includes BART (best available retrofit technology) for certain existing stationary sources contributing to the impairment.
- States must make <u>BART determinations</u> from EPA guidelines.
  - 2005: States <u>may consider options more stringent</u> <u>than the NSPS</u> in any BART determination.
  - 2006: States can develop  $SO_2$  &  $NO_x$  emission trading program to replace BART guidelines.

### Sources Required to Install BART

- δ169A required certain "major stationary sources" to install BART, sources <u>must be both "BART eligible" &</u> <u>"subject to BART."</u>
- **BART eligible**: The BART requirements apply to facilities (listed categories in  $\delta$ 169A) built between 1962 and 1977 that have the PTE  $\geq$  250 tons per year of visibility-impairing pollution.
- Subject to BART: Next, states must determine if that source emits any air pollutant which may <u>reasonably</u> be anticipated to cause or contribute to visibility impairment. ("reasonably attribute")
  - Use modeling to assess visibility: Impacts ≥ 1.0 deciview "cause" visibility impairment & ≥ 0.5 deciview to "contribute" to impairment. ("reasonably attribute" test).

### Visibility Protection: 1990 CAAA & 1999 Regional Haze Rule

- 1990 CAAA <u>added δ169B</u>
  - Required <u>research on modeling & monitoring</u> of regional haze
  - <u>Did not revise δ169A</u>
- The **1999 Regional Haze Rule** required <u>all states</u> (regardless if it doesn't have a Class I area) to submit a regional haze <u>SIP</u> (including progress reports).
  - It allowed states to join together to implement these rules. Resulting in the states creating 5 <u>Regional</u> <u>Planning Organizations</u> to coordinate technical analysis (monitoring & modeling) & strategy development among its states.





# **Visibility Impairment**

- <u>Haze is caused by</u> tiny particles that scatter and absorb light before it reaches an observer. As the number of particles increases, more light is absorbed and scattered, resulting in less visual range & degraded views of scenic features.
- <u>Natural sources</u> include windblown dust and soot from wildfires.
- <u>Manmade sources</u> include motor vehicles, electric utility and industrial fuel burning, and manufacturing operations.



69

### **Visibility Impairment**

- Five types of particles contribute to haze: sulfates, nitrates, organic carbon, elemental carbon, and crustal material. The importance of each type of particle varies across the United States.
- In <u>humid</u> environments, sulfate particles grow rapidly to a size that is very efficient at scattering light, thereby exacerbating visibility reductions in the East.



### **Regional Haze Progress 2014**

- <u>Visibility improvements</u> have been made in affected areas in the eastern US and some western areas on the 20% haziest days:
  - <u>Eastern Class I areas:</u> visibility improvements are a result of *the regional haze program, Acid Rain Program, & the Cross-state Air Pollution Rule.*
  - Western Class I areas: visibility is occasionally impacted by wildfires and dust storms which can mask visibility improvements due to anthropogenic emissions reductions.











# Particle Size and Air Pollution Control

Diameter ( m)	Volume (cm <sup>3</sup> )	Area (cm <sup>2</sup> )	
0.1	5.23 x 10 <sup>-16</sup>	3.14 x 10 <sup>-10</sup>	
.0	5.23 x 10 <sup>-13</sup>	3.14 x 10 <sup>-8</sup>	
0.0	5.23 x 10 <sup>-10</sup>	3.14 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	
00.0	5.23 x 10 <sup>-7</sup>	3.14 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>	
,000.0	5.23 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>	3.14 x 10 <sup>-2</sup>	

3 - 3

### **Particle Shapes**

- Particles vary in geometry: for example, perfect spheres such as condensed vapors, cylindrical or flat filaments like cotton or asbestos fibers for which the ratio of length to width is large.
- They can be platelets such as silica or mica or feathery agglomerates like soot and irregularly shaped fragments such as coal dust, foundry sand, or metal grinding particles.
- When particles are not spheres the drag may be quite different even for the same particle mass.





























		Time	Composition	-
Ideal	$\langle \rangle$	$\triangleleft$	$\langle \rangle$	
Microscope	$\bigcirc$	$\int$		
Optical counter	$\square$	$\triangleleft$		L
EAA		$\triangleleft$		
Bahco counter	$\triangleleft$	$\int$	$\triangleleft$	1
Impactor		$\int$	$\triangleleft$	]











Ideal	$\bigcirc$	$\triangleleft$	$\triangleleft$
Microscope	$\triangleleft$		
Optical counter	$\triangleleft$	$\triangleleft$	
EAA	$\triangleleft$	$\triangleleft$	
Bahco counter		$\int$	$\triangleleft$
Impactor		$\int$	$\triangleleft$









### Histogram

A histogram is one of the simplest ways to display a particle size distribution. It is a particle frequency distribution that shows the percentage of particles found in each size range. Frequency can be plotted (on the Y-axis) by number count, surface area, or mass. The skewed distribution shown in the next slide is typically found in air pollution control sampling and emission measurement.



### **Data Analysis**

- The median, arithmetic mean, and mode help characterize the arithmetic mass distribution. The median particle size (mass median particle diameter) is the particle diameter that divides the frequency distribution in half; fifty percent of the aerosol mass has particles with a larger diameter, and fifty percent of the aerosol mass has particles with a smaller diameter.
- The arithmetic mean diameter, usually simply termed the mean diameter, is the arithmetic average particle diameter of the distribution. The value of the arithmetic mean is sensitive to the quantities of particulate matter at the extreme lower and upper ends of the distribution.
- The mode represents the value that occurs most frequently in a distribution. In particle size distributions, the mode is the particle diameter that occurs most 3-33 frequently.

### Lognormal Size Distribution

- When the particle diameters from the previous slide are plotted on a logarithmic scale against the frequency of occurrence, a bell-shaped curve is generated.
- As shown in the next slide, the particle size categories are altered to produce equidistant ranges when plotted on a logarithmic basis.
- This bell-shaped histogram is called a lognormal curve. For many anthropogenic (manmade) sources, the observed particulate matter distribution approximates a lognormal distribution.
- Therefore, it is often beneficial to work with particle size distributions on a logarithmic basis.



### **Log-Normal Distribution**

The terms, geometric mean diameter and geometric standard deviation, are substituted for arithmetic mean diameter and standard deviation when incorporating logarithms of numbers. When the frequency of the particle size distribution is based on mass, the more specific term geometric mass mean diameter is used.









- A distribution with a broad range of sizes has a larger geometric standard deviation  $(\sigma_g)$  than one in which the particles are relatively similar in size.
- When the data are plotted in terms of the cumulative percent larger than size, the geometric standard deviation is determined by dividing the particle size at the 15.87 percent probability (-1 standard deviations from the mean) by the geometric mean size or by dividing the geometric mean size by the particle size at the 84.13 percent probability (+1 standard deviations from the mean)



Example	9-1
---------	-----

Determine the mass mean diameter and the geometric standard deviation of the particle collection represented by the following distribution:

Size Range (gm)	Mass (mg)	
<2	1.0	
2 to 4	14.5	
4 to 6	24.7	
6 to 10	59.8	
10 to 20	68.3	
20 to 40	28.9	
>40	2.8	3 - 43

S	Solution Refer to th mass and each size	e table. calculat range.	Deter te the j	mine the t percentag	total e in
2.	Starting with the size range for the smallest particles (<2	1	Example	Particle Siz	ze Data
	mm), subtract the percent mass in that range (0.50%) from 100.00 to determine the	Size Range (µm)	Mass (mg)	% Mass in Size Range	Cumulative % Mass Less Than dp max
	cumulative percent mass	<2	1.0	0.50	99.50
	greater than 2 mm (99.50%).	2 to 4	14.5	7.25	92.25
3.	For each subsequent size	4 to 6	24.7	12.35	79.90
<b>_</b>	range, subtract the percent	6 to 10	59.8	29.90	50.00
	mass in that range from the	10 to 20	68.3	34.15	15.85
	cumulative percent mass of	20 to 40	28.9	14.45	1.40
	the previous size range to	>40	2.8	1.40	
	determine the cumulative	TOTAL	200.0	100.0	
	max for that size range.	or examp 5% = 92.	le, for th 25%, th	e 2-4 μm size e cumulative	e range, 99.50% percent ma <b>ss</b> le



# Finally...

The mass mean particle diameter is found at the 50th percentile and is 10 mm. The geometric standard deviation is calculated from:

$$\sigma_{g} = \frac{d^{15.87}}{d^{50}} = \frac{20\mu m}{10\mu m} = 2.0$$
  
or  
$$\sigma_{g} = \frac{d^{50}}{d^{84.13}} = \frac{10\mu m}{5\mu m} = 2.0$$





Review Que	stions	
<ol> <li>Given the followi</li> <li>Is either of the d</li> <li>If yes, what is th geometric standa</li> </ol>	ing distributions: listributions lognormal? ne geometric mass mea ard deviation?	n diameter and the
Size Range (µm)	Sample A Mass (mg)	Sample B Mass (mg)
<0.6	25.50	8.50
0.6 to 1.0	33.15	11.05
1.0 to 1.2	17.85	7.65
1.2 to 3.0	102.00	40.80
3.0 to 8.0	63.75	15.30
8.0 to 10.0	5.10	1.69
>10.0	7.65	0.01 3 - 49

# Solution #2 (a)

Size Range (gm)	Mass (mg)	Percent Mass in Size Range	Cumulative Percent Mass Greater Than d <sub>p</sub> max
<0.6	25.50	10	90
0.6 to 1.0	33.15	13	77
1.0 to 1.2	17.85	7	70
1.2 to 3.0	102.00	40	30
3.0 to 8.0	63.75	25	5
8.0 to 10.0	5.10	2	3
>10.0	7.65	3	
TOTAL	255.0	1 00 . 0	

Soluti	on #2	(b)
•••••	••••	<b>\~</b> /

Size Range (gm)	Mass (mg)	Percent Mass in Size Range	Cumulative Percent Mass Greater Than d <sub>p</sub> max
<0.6	8.50	10	90
0.6 to 1.0	11.05	13	77
1.0 to 1.2	7.65	9	68
1.2 to 3.0	40.80	48	20
3.0 to 8.0	15.30	18	2
8.0 to 10.0	1.69	1.99	0.01
>10.0	0.01	0.01	
TOTAL	85.0	100.0	





# And finally...

The geometric mass mean diameter and the geometric standard deviations for Sample A are:

$$d_{50} = 1.9 \mu m$$
  
$$\sigma_{g} = \frac{d_{50} = 1.9 \mu m}{d_{84.13} = 0.8 \mu m} = 2.4$$

3 - 54



# **Chapter 4 Particle Collection Mechanism**





















• Laminar (Re<sub>p</sub><1)  

$$C_{D} = \frac{24}{Re_{p}}$$
• Transition (1p<1,000)  

$$C_{D} = \frac{18.5}{Re_{p}^{0.6}}$$
• Turbulent (Re<sub>p</sub>>1,000)  

$$C_{D} = 0.44$$
Mathematical expressions relating the values of C<sub>p</sub> and Re<sub>p</sub> can be derived from <sub>12</sub>


















K Values						
Laminar region	K<2.62					
Transitional region	2.62 <k<69.12< td=""></k<69.12<>					
Turbulent region	K>69.12					
	22					







These data indicate that	Terminal Settling Velocities of Unit Density Spheres at 25° C					
the terminal settling velocities are	Particle Size (μm)	Terminal Settling Velocity at 25 C (cm/sec)	Flow Condition			
virtually	0.1	0.000087	Laminar			
negligible for	1.0	0.0035	Laminar			
moderate for particles in the	10.0	0.304	Laminar			
	50.0	7.5	Laminar			
	80.0	19.3	Laminar			
size range of	100	31.2	Transitional			
relatively fast	200	68.8	Transitional			
only for	1,000	430.7	Transitional			
particles larger	10,000	1,583	Turbulent			
than 80 µm.	100,000	5,004	Turbulent			
It is for this reason settling to accomp	n that air pollution contr lish initial separation are	ol devices that employ o e limited to pre-cleaners	nly gravitational that are designed to			

reduce the large particle fraction before entering fans or the primary control device.







































Table 4-2.         Equations used to estimate collection efficiency and collection area							
Calculation	Deutsch-Anderson	Matts-Ohnfeldt					
Collection efficiency	$\eta = 1 - e^{-w(A/Q)}$	$\eta = 1 - e^{-w_k (A/Q)^k}$					
required efficiency)	$A = \frac{1}{W} [\ln(1 - \eta)]$	$A = \left\lfloor -\left(\frac{1}{W_k}\right) \left[\ln(1-\eta)\right] \right\rfloor$					
Where:	$\begin{array}{l} \eta &= \mbox{collection efficiency} \\ A &= \mbox{collection area} \\ w &= \mbox{migration velocity} \\ Q &= \mbox{gas flow rate} \\ ln &= \mbox{natural logarithm} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} \eta & = \mbox{collection efficiency} \\ A & = \mbox{collection area} \\ w_k & = \mbox{average migration} \\ velocity \\ k & = \mbox{constant} (usually 0.5) \\ ln & = \mbox{natural logarithm} \end{array}$					
An empirically derive units) is used to calcu installation.	ed migration velocity (fro late the necessary collect	om a variety of similar tion plate area of a new <sub>44</sub>					









# **Phoretic Forces:** are two relatively weak forces that can affect collection of sub-micrometer particles

- Thermophoresisis is particle movement <u>caused by</u> temperature differences on opposite sides of the particle.
- The gas molecule kinetic energies on the hot side of the particle are higher than they are on the cold side. Therefore, collisions with the particle on the hot side transfer more energy than molecular collisions on the cold side. Accordingly, the particle is deflected toward the cold area.
- Diffusiophoresis is particle movement <u>caused by</u> concentration differences on opposite sides of the particle.
- When there is a strong difference in the concentration of molecules on opposite sides of the particle, there is a difference in the number of molecular collisions. The particle moves toward the area of lower concentration.































Chamber Velocity								
Pickup Velocities of Various Materials								
Material Density Median Size Pickup (g/cm3) (mm) Velocity (ft/sec)								
Aluminum chips	2.72	335	14.2					
Asbestos	2.20	261	17.0					
Nonferrous foundry dust	3.02	117	18.8					
Lead oxide	8.26	15	25.0					
Limestone	2.78	71	21.0					
Starch	1.27	64	5.8					
Steel shot	6.85	96	15.2					
Wood chips	1.18	1,370	13.0					
Sawdust <u>The velocity at which settle</u> <u>velocity</u> . In order to avoid not exceed the pickup velo	Wood chips     1.18     1,3/0     13.0       Sawdust      1,400     22.3       The velocity at which settled particles become re-entrained is called the <i>pickup</i> velocity. In order to avoid re-entrainment of collected dust, the throughput velocity must not exceed the pickup velocity.     1							

# Advantages and Disadvantages

Low Capital Cost	
Very Low Energy Cost	
No Moving Parts	
Few Maintenance Requirements	
Low Operating Costs	
Excellent Reliability	
Low Pressure Drop	
Device Not Subject to Abrasion	
Provides Incidental Cooling of Gas Stream	
Dry Collection and Disposal	
Disadvantages:	
Relatively Low PM Collection Efficiencies	
Unable to Handle Sticky or Tacky Materials	
Large Physical Size	
Travs in Multiple-Trav Settling Chamber may Warp	
have an instance thay because entitleer may warp	
	16

### **Review Questions**

17

Estimate the collection efficiency of a 50  $\mu$ m diameter particle in a simple settling chamber 5 meters wide by 2 meters high by 10 meters long when the gas velocity is 0.3 m/sec.

Assume a particle density of 4.6 g/cm<sup>3</sup> and gas stream conditions of  $20^{\circ}$ C and 1 atm.

(the density of air at 20°C is 1.20 x 10-3 g/cm3 and the viscosity is 1.80 x 10-4 g/cm(sec))















Cyclones Staged for Installation in a Fluid Catalytic Cracker (FCC) Regenerator











































#### Example 6-1

A large diameter cyclone is being used for the removal of grain dust in the range of 8 to 100  $\mu m$  diameter. What are collection efficiencies over this range if the cyclone has an inlet width of 1 ft, an inlet gas velocity of 50 ft/sec, and an operating temperature of 68°F? Assume  $n_t=1$  and a particle density of 80  $lb_m/ft^3$ .

### Solution:

$$\left[d_{p}\right]_{est} = \sqrt{\frac{9\mu_{p}B_{c}}{2\pi n_{t}v_{t}\rho_{p}}} = \sqrt{\frac{9\left(1.21 \times 10^{-5} \frac{lb_{m}}{ft \cdot sec}\right)(lft)}{2\pi (l\left(50\frac{ft}{sec}\right)(80\frac{lb_{m}}{ft^{-3}})}} = 6.58 \times 10^{-5} ft = 20 \, \mu m$$

Estimate efficiency of 8, 12, 20, 30, 50 and 100  $\mu m$  diameter particles:

L	Example 6-1 Efficiency Estimates						
Γ	[dp]i (μm)	[dp]i/[dp]cut	ηi(%)				
Γ	8	0.40	9	1			
Γ	12	0.60	28	1			
Γ	20	1.00	50	1			
Γ	30	1.50	65	1			
Γ	50	2.50	85	1			
Ē	100	5.00	98	6 - 28			





































### Cyclone Control Problems

- •Failure Modes
- –Inlet and outlet plugging
- –Air leakage
  - Component erosion
  - Acid gas corrosion























7 - 14





Pressure Drop Modeling  

$$\Delta P_t = \Delta P_f + \Delta P_c$$
where  

$$\Delta P_t = \text{total pressure drop}$$

$$\Delta P_f = \text{fabric or media pressure drop}$$

$$\Delta P_c = \text{dust cake pressure drop}$$
7-16















7 - 23

 

 Fugitive emissions pressure drop
 Baghouse
 To Stack

 Fugitive
 Baghouse
 To Stack

 Fugitive
 Baghouse
 Baseline

 Fugitive
 Baseline
 System

 Process
 Gas Flow Rate
 24



- Water
- Lubricating oil
- Condensed organic
- Submicrometer particles
- Hopper overflow or bridging

7 - 25









# Shaking Baghouses

Mechanical shaking is accomplished by using a motor that drives a shaft to move a rod connected to the bags. It is a low energy process that gently shakes the bags to remove deposited particles. The shaking motion and speed depends upon the vendor's design and the composition of dust deposited on the bag. The shaking motion can be either in a horizontal or vertical direction, with the horizontal being the most often used. The tops of the bags in shaker baghouses are sealed or closed and supported by a hook or a clasp. Bags are open at the bottom and attached to a cell plate (bag plate).









# Reverse Air Baghouses

Reverse air, the simplest cleaning mechanism, is accomplished by stopping the flow of dirty gas into the compartment and backwashing the compartment with a low pressure flow of air. Dust is removed by merely allowing the bags to collapse, thus causing the dust cake to break and fall into the hopper. The cleaning action is very gentle, allowing the use of less abrasion resistant fabrics such as Fiberglas<sup>®</sup>. Reverse air cleaning is generally used for cleaning woven fabrics. Cleaning frequency varies from 30 minutes to several hours, depending on the inlet dust concentration. The cleaning duration is approximately 10 to 30 seconds; the total time is 1 to 2 minutes including valve opening and closing and dust settling.

### **Reverse Air Baghouses**

Reverse air cleaning baghouses are usually compartmentalized to permit a section to be offline for cleaning. Dust can be collected on either the inside or outside of the bag. Normally dust is collected on the inside of the bag, the bag being open at the bottom and sealed by a metal cap at the top. Bags are supported by small steel rings sewn to the inside of the bag. The rings are placed every 4 to 18 inches throughout the bag length, depending on the length and diameter of the bag, to prevent complete collapse during the cleaning cycle.

7 - 37

### **Reverse Air Baghouses**

• Complete collapse of the bag would prevent the dust from falling into the hopper. Reverse air baghouses use very large bags (as compared to shaker or pulse jet baghouses) ranging from 8 to18 inches in diameter and from 20 to 40 feet in length. Air for cleaning is supplied by a separate fan which is normally much smaller than the main system fan, since only one compartment is cleaned at a time.























- Leakage through poorly sealed dampers
- Improper bag tension
- Corrosion























### **Chapter 7: Fabric Filters**









### Pulse Jet Cleaning System Problems

- · Cage/bag misalignment
- Low compressed air pressure
- Contaminated compressed air
- Diaphragm valve leakage or freezing
- Loose, misaligned pulse pipe
- Timer or differential pressure sensor failure
- Excessive cleaning frequency



# Bag Blinding

• Bag blinding is a condition where the particles become embedded in the filter over time and are not removed by the cleaning process. Submicron particles can be driven into fabric weave, essentially blocking air flow. This results in reduced gas flow or an increased pressure drop across the filter. If the filter or cartridge cannot be cleaned readily nor the pores reopened, this condition is referred to as permanent blinding.

### **Bag Blinding**

- A dust cake is beneficial for collecting more particulate matter, but some pore space is needed for air flow.
- Moisture can be a potential problem, although in some situations, moisture might be added to enhance cleaning. Extreme version called "mudding" can occur when the dust cake absorbs water and builds layer of mud on bag, blocking air flow and impairing mechanical cleaning motion.



# Performance Evaluation

- Fabric selection
- Air-to-cloth ratio
- · Approach velocity
- · Bag spacing and length
- Bag accessibility
- · Cleaning system design
- Hopper design
- Bypass dampers
- Instrumentation







- Maximum temperature of the gas stream
- Composition of the gas stream
- Physical abrasion
- Fabric flex conditions
- Tensile strength

Generic	Common or	Maximum Temp	erature, °F	Acid	
Name	Trade Name	Continuous	Surges	Resistance	
Natural Fiber, Cellulose	Cotton	180	225	Beer	
Polyolefin	Polyolefin	190	220	Good to Excellent	
Polypropylene	Polypropylene	200	225	Excellent	
Polyamide	Nylon®	200	225	Excellent	
Acrylic	Orlon®	240	260	Good	
Polyester	Dacron®	275	325	Good	
Aromatic Polyamide	Nomex®	400	425	Fair	
Polyphenylene Sulfide	Ryton®	400	425	Good	
Polyimide	P=84 <sup>m</sup>	400	425	Good	
Fiberglass	Fiberglass	500	550	Fair	
Fluorocarbon	Teflon®	400	500	Excellent	
Stainless Steel	Stainless Steel	750	900	Good	
Ceramic	Nextel®	1200	1400		

Fabric Resistance to Abrasion and Flex					
Generic Name	Common or Trade Name	Resistance to Abrasion and Flex			
Natural Fiber, Cellulose	Cotton	Good			
Polyolefin	Polyolefin	Excellent			
Polypropylene	Polypropylene	Excellent			
Polyamide	Nylon®	Excellent			
Acrylic	Orlon®	Good			
Polyester	Dacron®	Excellent			
Aromatic Polyamide	Nomex®	Excellent			
Polyphenylene Sulfide	Ryton®	Excellent			
Polyimide	P-84®	Excellent			
Fiberglass	Fiberglass	Fair			
Fluorocarbon	Teflon®	Fair			
Stainless Steel	Stainless Steel	Excellent			
Ceramic	Nextel®	Fair 7 - 75			









Generic name	Fiber	Ma	Maximum temperature		Acid resistance	Alkali resistance	Flex abrasion resistance	Relative cost	
		Conti	nuous	Sur	ges				
		°F	°C	۴F	°C				
Natural fiber cellulose	Cotton	180	82	225	107	poor	excellent	average	0.4
Polyolefin	Polypro- pylene	190	88	200	93	excellent	excellent	good	0.5
Natural fiber protein	Wool	200	93	250	121	good	poor	average	0.8
Polyamide	Nylon	200	93	250	121	poor to fair	excellent	excellent	0.6
Acrylic	Orion®	240	116	260	127	very good	fair	average	0.7
Polyester	Dacron®	275	135	325	163	good	fair	excellent	0.5
Aromatic polyamide	Nomex <sup>®</sup>	400	204	425	218	fair	very good	very good	2.0
Fluoro- carbon	Tefion®	450	232	500	260	excellent except poor for fluorine	excellent except poor for trifluoride, chlorine, and molten alkaline metals	fair	6.7
Glass	Fibergias® or glass	500	260	550	288	good	poor	poor to fair	1.0
Polymer	P84®	450	232	500	260	good	fair	fair	2.5
Dolymor	Ryton <sup>®</sup>	375	191	450	232	excellent	excellent	good	2.5-4.0



				1
Generic	Common or	Maxin Temperat	um ure, °F	Acid
Name	Trade Name	Continuous	Surges	Resistance
Natural Fiber, Cellulose	Cotton	180	225	Poor
Polyolefin	Polyolefin	190	200	Good to Excellent
Polypropylene	Polypropylene	200	225	Excellent
Polyamide	Nylon®	200	225	Excellent
Acrylic	Orlon®	240	260	Good
Polyester	Dacron®	275	325	Good
Aromatic Polyamide	Nomex®	400	425	Fair
Polyphenylene Sulfide	Ryton®	400	425	Good
Polyimide	P-84®	400	425	Good
Fiberglass	Fiberglass	500	550	Fair
Fluorocarbon	Teflon®	400	500	Excellent
Stainless Steel	Stainless Steel	750	900	Good
Ceramic	Nextel®	1300	1400	Good





Air-to-Cloth Ratios in					
Various Industrial Categories					

Industry	Shaker	Reverse Air	Pulse Jet	
Basic oxygen furnaces	2.5-3.0	1.5-2.0	6-8	
Brick manufacturers	2.5-3.2	1.5-2.0	9-10	
Coal-fired boilers	1.5-2.5	1.0-2.0	3-5	
Electric arc furnaces	2.5-3.0	1.5-2.0	6-8	
Ferroalloy plants	2.0	2.0	9	
Grey iron foundries	2.5-3.0	1.5-2.0	7-8	
Lime kilns	2.5-3.0	1.5-2.0	8-9	
Municipal incinerators	1.5-2.5	1.0-2.0	2.5-4.0	
Phosphate fertilizer	3.0-3.5	1.8-2.0	8-9	
Portland cement kilns	2.0-3.0	1.2-1.5	7-10 7 - 85	



### Example 7-1

Calculate the gross and net air-to-cloth ratios for a reverse air baghouse with 20 compartments, 360 bags per compartment, a bag length of 30 ft, and a bag diameter of 11 inches. Use an actual gas flow rate of  $1.2 \times 10^6$  ft<sup>3</sup>/min. Assume that two compartments are out of service when calculating the net air-to-cloth ratio.

### Solution:

Bag area =  $\pi$ DL Area/bag =  $\pi$  (11 inches)( ft/12 in.) 30 ft = 86.35 ft<sup>2</sup>/bag

The gross air-to-cloth ratio is calculated assuming that all the bags are in service.

7 - 87



### Example 7-2

Calculate the gross and net air-to-cloth ratios for a cartridge baghouse with 4 compartments, 16 cartridges per compartment, a cartridge length of 2 ft, and a cartridge diameter of 8 inches. Use a pleat depth of 1.5 inches and a total of 36 pleats in the cartridge. Use an actual gas flow rate of 4,000 ft/min. Assume one compartment is out of service when calculating the net air-to-cloth ratio.

#### Solution:

Cartridge area = 2ndh Area/cartridge = 2(36 pleats)(1.5 in./(12 in. per ft))(2 ft) = 18 ft^2

The gross air-to-cloth ratio is calculated assuming that all the bags are in service.

Total fabric area = (64 cartridges)(18 ft<sup>2</sup>/cartridge) = 1,152 ft<sup>2</sup>

$$(A/C)_{gross} = \frac{4,000 \text{ ft}^3 / \text{min}}{1,152 \text{ ft}^2} = 3.47 \text{ (ft}^3 / \text{min}) / \text{ ft}^2$$

7 - 89

### Example 7-2 (cont.)

The net air-to-cloth ratio is calculated by subtracting the compartments that are not in filtering service.

Total number of cartridges = (16 cartridges/compartment)(3 compartments)

= 48 cartridges

Total fabric area = (48 cartridges)(18 ft<sup>2</sup>/cartridge) = 864 ft<sup>2</sup>

$$(A/C)_{net} = \frac{4,000 \text{ ft}^3/\text{min}}{864 \text{ ft}^2} = 4.62 \text{ (ft}^3/\text{min})/\text{ft}^2$$












# Hopper Design

- Properly sealing solids discharge valve
- Adequately sized hopper throat
- · Adequately sloped hopper walls
- Strike plates or vibrators
- Thermal insulation
- Heaters

7 - 97

7 - 99

## Performance Evaluation

- Fabric selection
- Air-to-cloth ratio
- Approach velocity
- Bag spacing and length
- · Bag accessibility
- Cleaning system design
- Hopper design
- Bypass dampers
- Instrumentation

7 - 98

## Instrumentation

- Static pressure drop gauges
- Inlet and outlet gas temperature gauges
- · Bag break detector
- · Opacity monitor

I	Exhaust Co	oling Methods
Method	What it does	Advantage/disadvantage
Dilution	Dilution with additional air	Easiest and cheapest. But requires the baghouse to be larger to handle increased air volume. Also may cause intake of ambient moisture and contaminants.
Radiation cooling	Use of long uninsulated ducts for the gas stream to cool as heat radiates from the duct walls. Ducts can be designed in "U" shapes to allow more duct surface area to be exposed for cooling	Radiation cooling is only effective to cool gas temperatures above 572 °F or 300 °C. Below this temperature requires lots of surface area, lengthy duct runs, and increased fan horsepower. Precise temperature control is difficult and there is a possibility of duct plugging due to particle build-up.
Evaporative cooling	Injection of fine water droplets into the gas stream. The droplets absorb heat from the gas as they evaporate. Spray nozzles are located in a quench chamber or in the duct preceding the bachouse.	Gives a great amount of controlled cooling at a lower installation cost. Temperature control can be flexible and accurate. However, this cooling method may increase the exhaust volume to the baghouse. The biggest problem is keeping the gas temperature above the dew point of the gas (SO, NO2, HCI, etc.) of the gases may condense on the bags causing rapid bag deterioration. $7 \cdot 100$





Examples of Typical Baghouse Installations					
Industry	Process dust concentration (gr/ft <sup>3</sup> )	Baghouse	Fabrics	Temperature (°F)	Air-to-cloth ratio (cfm/ft²)
Aluminum			Nomex®	250 to 375	2.0 to 2.5 : 1
furnaces scrap convevor	6 to 20	Shaker Pulse jet	Orlon Polyester	100	7.0 to 8.0 : 1
Asphalt batch plants		Pulse jet	Nomex®	250	4.0 to 6.0 : 1
Coal fired boilers (1.5% sulfur coal)		Reverse air Pulse jet	Glass Teflon®	350 to 450 300 to 450	2.0 ; 1 4.0 : 1
Coal processing pulverizing mill dryer roller Mill crusher		Pulse jet Pulse jet Pulse jet Pulse jet	Nomex <sup>®</sup> felt Nomex <sup>®</sup> felt Polyester Felt Polypropylene felt	240 400 225 100	4 to 6 : 1 5 to 7 : 1 6 :1 7 to 8 : 1
Carbon black		Reverse air	Glass-Teflon® treated or Teflon®	,	1.5 : 1
Cement clinker cooler crusher venting kiln	10 to 12	Pulse jet Reverse air and shake Reverse air	Nomex <sup>®</sup> felt Polyester felt, Gore-Tex <sup>®</sup> Glass	400 to 500	5:1 5:1 2:1 <b>7-103</b>

Examples of Typical Baghouse Installations					llations
Industry	Process dust concentration (gr/ft <sup>3</sup> )	Baghouse	Fabrics	Temperature (°F)	Air-to-cloth ratio (cfm/ft <sup>2</sup> )
<b>Clay</b> calcining kiln or dryers	25	Pulse jet	Glass felt, Nomex <sup>®</sup>	300 to 400	6 : 1
Copper smelter	< 2	Shaker	Dacron, Teflon <sup>®</sup>	130	
Cupola furnace (gray iron)	1 to 2	Reverse air shaker	Glass-Teflon® treated Nomex <sup>®</sup>	550	1.9 : 1
Chemical PVC spray dryer		Reverse air	Acrylic Gore- Tex®	350 to 425	2 to 3.6 : 1
Food sugar storage		Pulse jet	Polyester, Gore-Tex®		10 : 1
					7 - 104

Examp	oles of T	ypical E	Baghous	se Instal	llations
Industry	Process dust concentration (gr/ft <sup>3</sup> )	Baghouse	Fabrics	Temperature (°F)	Air-to-cloth ratio (cfm/ft <sup>2</sup> )
Foundry sand casting operation	5 to 10	Pulse jet	Polyester felt	275	6 to 7 : 1
Glass melting furnaces		Reverse air Reverse air and shake	Glass Nomex <sup>®</sup>	400 to 500 375 to 400	< 2 : 1
Gypsum building materials		Pulse jet	Nomex®		
Lead smelting (battery lead)		Pulse jet	Nomex <sup>®</sup> , Teflon <sup>®</sup>	320 to 325	
Lime calcining		Pulse jet	Nomex®	280	
Metal lead oxide processing		Shaker	Dacron, Gore-Tex <sup>®</sup>		1.5 to 3 : 1
					7 - 105

Examp	Examples of Typical Baghouse Installations					
Municipal Incinerators	0.5	Reverse air Pulse jet	Glass Teflon <sup>®</sup>	300 300	2 : 1 4 : 1	
Steel electric arc furnace canopy hood over steel furnace	0.1 to 0.5 0.1 to 0.5 10 or less	Shaker Reverse air Pulse jet	Dacron Dacron Polyester felt	275 125 to 250 250	8 : 1	
Secondary copper and brass rotary kiln		Shaker	Nomex®	350		
Woodworking furniture manufacturing		Pulse jet	Polyester		10 : 1	
Zinc refining coker (zinc oxide)		Pulse jet	Glass felt,	350 to 450	4 to 6 : 1 7 - 106	











- All fabric filter bags allow some amount of PM to pass through; this constant bleed through is used to establish a baseline signal. The monitoring system detects gradual or instantaneous increases in the signal from the baseline level.
- According to a vendor literature, triboelectric monitoring systems have been shown to detect baseline emissions as low as 0.1 mg/dscm (0.00005 gr/dscf).

https://www3.epa.gov/ttnemc01/cem/tribo.pdf<sup>7-112</sup>







## **Filter Cartidges**

• There are other types of fabric filter dust collectors. Cartridge filters or cartridge collectors, as shown on the following photos, are another design used for filtering particulate matter. Cartridge collectors tend to be used on smaller industrial processes that have lower exhaust flow rates (usually less than 50,000 cfm) and tend to be good for small particles.

## Filter Cartridge

• The cartridge filters are supported on a tube sheet that is usually mounted near the back of the filter housing. The dirty gas passes from the outside of the filter element to the inside and the dust cake remains on the exterior of the filter media. The filter media is usually a felted material composed of cellulose, polypropylene, or other flexresistant material and come in several styles and sizes. Cartridge filter type collectors are used in a wide variety of industrial applications.

# Filter Cartridges

• Due to their compact design, they can be used in small collectors located close to the point of particulate matter generation. They are mostly used on gas streams that are less than 400°F, due to the capabilities of the flex resistant, high temperature fabrics and by the limitation of the gasket material used to seal the cartridge filter to the tube sheet.

7 - 118



















Emissions versus pressure drop for venturi scrubbers serving coal driers Engineering Science, 1979)













Example 8-1				
What is the design liquid-to-gas ratio for a scrubber system that has an outlet gas flow rate of 15,000 acfm, a pump discharge rate of 100 gpm, and a liquid purge rate of 10 gpm? The purge stream is withdrawn from the pump discharge side.				
Solution				
$\frac{L}{G} = \frac{\text{Inlet liquid flow (gpm)}}{\text{Outlet gas flow rate (1.000 acfm)}}$				
Inlet liquid flow = $100 \text{ gpm} - 10 \text{ gpm} = 90 \text{ gpm}$				
$\frac{L}{G} = \frac{90\text{gpm}}{15,000\text{acfm}} = 0.006\frac{\text{gal}}{\text{acf}} = 6.0\frac{\text{gal}}{1,000\text{acff}}$				
8-	16			

## Factors Affecting Liquid Purge Rate

- Rate of particulate matter capture
- Maximum acceptable suspended solids concentration
- Rate of dissolved solids precipitation
- Rate of chlorine or fluorine accumulation

























Mist Eliminator Type	Orientation	Maximum Gas Velocity, ft/sec
Zigzag	Horizontal	15 – 20
Zigzag	Vertical	12 – 15
Mesh Pad	Horizontal	15 – 23
Mesh Pad	Vertical	10 – 12
Woven Pad	Vertical	8 – 15
Tube Bank	Horizontal	18 – 23
Tube Bank	Vertical	12 – 16



#### Example 8-4

Estimate the gas velocity through a mist eliminator having a diameter of 6.5 feet, an average gas flow rate of 4,000 dscfm, and a peak gas flow rate of 4,760 dscfm. The peak gas stream temperature is 130°F, the static pressure during peak flow in the vessel is -30 in. WC, and the barometric pressure is 29.4 in. Hg. The moisture content of the gas stream is 6% by volume. Solution:

The gas velocity should be evaluated under peak flow conditions because this is the time when reentrainment is most probable.

Convert the gas flow rate to actual conditions:

 $\mathrm{scfm} = \frac{\mathrm{dscfm}}{\left(\frac{100 - \%\mathrm{H_2O}}{100}\right)} = \frac{4.760\,\mathrm{dscfm}}{\left(\frac{100 - 6}{100}\right)} = 5.064\,\mathrm{scfm}$ Absolute pressure = 29.4 in. Hg +  $\left[-30 \text{ in. WC}\left(\frac{1 \text{ in. Hg}}{13.6 \text{ in. WC}}\right)\right]$  = 27.19 in. Hg Absolute temperature =  $130^{\circ}F + 460^{\circ} = 590^{\circ}R$  $acfm = 5,064 \left(\frac{590^{\circ}R}{528^{\circ}R}\right) \left(\frac{29.92 \text{ in.Hg}}{27.19 \text{ in.Hg}}\right) = 6,227 \text{ acfm}$ 





 Particle size distribution · Water availability Wastewater treatment Condensation plume

**Applicability Limitations** 

8 - 35

8 - 33











































- Empirical evaluation
- Mathematical models
- Instrumentation



8 - 68





= gas viscosity (gm/cm sec)

















## Example 8-7

Estimate the collection efficiency of 4 µm diameter particles with a density of 1.1 g/cm<sup>3</sup> in a tray scrubber having 3 trays with 10 mm diameter holes. The gas flow rate is 140 m<sup>3</sup>/min at 20°C, the water flow rate is 115 l/min, and the gas velocity through the holes is 1,800 cm/sec. Assume F = 0.50 and a Cunningham correction of 1.0.

#### Solution:

Calculate the inertial impaction parameter:









#### Example 8-8

Estimate the collection efficiency of a 1  $\mu m$  diameter particle with a density of 1.5 g/cm<sup>3</sup> in a venturi scrubber having a throat gas velocity of 300 ft/sec and a liquid to gas ratio of 8.0 gal/1,000 ft<sup>3</sup>. Assume a temperature of 68°F and a k of 0.15 1,000 ft<sup>3</sup>/gal.

#### Solution:

Calculate the mean droplet diameter:

$$\begin{split} d_{d} &= \frac{16,400}{v_{g}} + 1.45 {\left( \frac{Q_{1}}{Q_{g}} \right)}^{1.5} \\ &= \frac{16,400}{300} + 1.45 {(8.0)}^{1.5} = 87.5\,\mu m \end{split}$$

Calculate the Cunningham correction factor:

$$C_{c} = 1 + \frac{6.21 \times 10^{-4} \text{T}}{d_{p}} = 1 + \frac{6.21 \times 10^{-4} (293 \text{ K})}{1 \mu \text{m}} = 1.18$$
8-83

















#### Three Basic Steps to Particulate Matter Collection in an ESP

- *Step 1:* <u>development of a high-voltage direct</u> <u>current that is used to electrically charge particles</u> in the gas stream,
- Step 2: development of an *electric field* in the space between the discharge electrode and the positively charged collection electrode <u>that</u> propels the negatively charged ions and particulate matter toward the collection electrode, and
- Step 3: removal of the collected particulate by use of a rapping mechanism (or water flushing in the case of a wet collector).





# **Voltage Limits Excessive Spark Rates**

- While excessive sparking reduces collection efficiency, some degree of sparking is necessary to ensure that the field is operating at the highest possible applied voltage.
- Average "spark over" rate for optimum performance is:
  - Inlet fields: 20 sparks/min.
  - Intermediate fields: 10 sparks/min.
  - Outlet fields: Zero or near zero sparks/min.























## **Dust Layer Resistivity**

- The ability of the electrical charges to move through the dust layer is measured in terms of dust layer resistivity.
- The dust layer resistivity is based on units of ohm-centimeters.
  - This is simply the ohms of resistance created by each centimeter of dust in the dust layer.
- <u>High resistivity</u> is generally considered to be equal to or above 10<sup>10</sup> ohm-cm.
- **Low resistivity** is generally considered to be equal to or below 10<sup>7</sup> ohm-cm.
- Moderate (or preferred) resistivity is between 10<sup>7</sup> and 10<sup>10</sup> ohm-cm.

## **Dust Layer Resistivity**

- When the resistivity is <u>very low</u>, (dust layer is a good conductor) the electrostatic charge is drained of too quickly and <u>the particles are re-entrained into the gas.</u>
- When the resistivity is <u>very high</u> the dust layers are so strongly held by the electrostatic fields, <u>it is hard</u> to dislodge the dust.
  - The electrons have difficulty moving through the dust layer.
- When the resistivity is <u>normal</u>, particles will be easy to collect.
  - At the collecting surface, the particle will have a slow consistent discharge allowing for a particulate layer to build up that can be properly dislodged by rapping.











## Three Adverse Impacts of High Resistivity

- As the dust layer builds up, <u>the voltage difference between the</u> <u>discharge electrode and the dust layer decreases</u>, **reducing the** <u>electrostatic field strength</u> used to drive the gas ion carrying particles over to the dust layer.
- 2. Back corona (or reverse ionization): This occurs when the electrostatic voltage across the dust layer is so great that corona discharges begin to appear in the gas trapped within the dust layer creating the formation of positive gas ions that stream toward the negatively charged discharge electrode. These positive ions neutralize some of the negatively charged particles waiting to be collected, thereby decreasing the precipitator's efficiency.
- Most common adverse impact is <u>increased electrical sparking</u>. Once the sparking reaches the arbitrarily set spark rate limit, the automatic controllers limit the operating voltages of the field. This causes reduced particle charging effectiveness and reduced particle migration velocities toward the collection plates.











#### Condition with additional substances (e.g. SO<sub>3</sub>, NH<sub>3</sub> etc.)

The ability of <u>sulfuric acid</u> <u>&/or ammonia</u> to electrically condition the particle surfaces (nucleate the particle surface) is due to its hygroscopic tendencies and then <u>form a</u> <u>conductive layer on the</u> <u>particle</u>.









# **ESP** Applicability Limitations

- Extremely low particle resistivity
- · Potential fire and explosion hazards
  - Fires can occur in dust layers on the collection plates or in the accumulated solids in a hopper.
- Sticky particulate matter
  - Wet ESPs can operate very well with moderately sticky material. However, it must be possible to remove the contaminants either by normal drainage or by occasional cleaning sprays.
- Ozone formation

9 - 35

# **Precipitator Systems**

- There are three categories of ESPs.
  - Dry, negative corona: this type is used on the largest systems and are the most common type of units in service.
  - Wet, negative corona: use water on the collection plates to remove the collected solids.
     2 design types: (1) vertical flow and (2) horizontal flow
  - Wet, positive corona: are sometimes termed two-stage precipitators. Particle charging occurs in a pre-ionizer section, and particle collection occurs in a downstream collection plate section.





#### Horizontal Flow Wet, Negative Corona ESP Used where mists must be controlled or when solid PM has undesirable electrical or physical properties (these include stickiness or a high carbonaceous composition). A washing system, rather than rappers, is used for dust removal. Cleaning of the collection plates is performed by a set of overhead sprays on the inlet side of each field

#### Vertical Flow Wet, Negative Corona ESP The gas stream enters the chamber at the top of the unit. High voltage discharge electrodes are mounted in the center of each tube to generate the negative corona. The charged particles migrate to the wet inner surface of the tube and are

collected. Liquid moving down the tube surfaces carries the collected material to the wet ESP sump.

















Movement of the wire-type discharge electrodes is minimized by hanging bottle weights on each wire. These provide 25 to 30 pounds of tension on the wire so that it does not move excessively 9-46





## Rappers

- The rapping frequency is not constant throughout the precipitator.
  - The inlet fields should be rapped much more frequently, since they collect large quantities of particulate matter, than the middle & outlet fields.
    - <u>Inlet field</u> collection plates is usually once every 5 to 15 minutes.
    - <u>Outlet fields</u> collection plates is usually once every hour to once every 24 hours.

- There are two basic types of rappers:
  - (1) roof-mounted rappers and
  - (2) side-mounted rappers.















#### **Example** (Listed in Manual as Example 9-4)

Estimate the quantities of dust in each field of a four-field electrostatic precipitator having efficiencies of 80%, 75%, 70%, and 65% respectively. Assume a gas flow rate of 250,000 ACFM and a particulate matter loading of 2 grains per actual cubic foot. (7000 grains = 1 lb<sub>m</sub>)

Field	Assumed Efficiency	Particulate Entering (Ib <sub>m</sub> /hr)	Particulate Leaving, (Ib <sub>m</sub> /hr)	Particulate Collected (lb <sub>m</sub> /hr)
1 (inlet)	80	4,286	857	3,429
2 (middle)	75	857	214	643
3 (middle)	70	214	64	150
4 (outlet)	65	64	22	42

This example shows that large quantities of particulate are captured in the inlet field, and frequent rapping is needed.9-57

## Solution

#### Field #1

Inlet = (2 grains/ft3)(1.0 lbm/7000 grains)(250,000 ft3/min)(60 min/hr) = 4,286 lbm/hr

Outlet = 4,286 (1 - 0.8) = 857 lbm/hr

Particles Collected = 4,286 - 857 = 3,429 lbm/hr

#### Field #2

Inlet = 857 lbm/hr

Outlet = 857 (1 - 0.75) = 214 lbm/hr

Particles Collected = 857 - 214 = 643 lbm/hr

9 - 58

## **Particle Collection**

- Collection efficiency is the primary consideration of ESP design. The collection efficiency and/or the collection area of an ESP can be estimated using several equations.
- These <u>equations give a theoretical estimate of</u> <u>the overall collection efficiency</u> of the unit operating under ideal conditions. Unfortunately, a number of operating parameters can adversely affect the collection efficiency of the precipitator.

# $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{Collection Efficiency}\\ \textbf{Deutsch-Anderson Equation}\\ \textbf{Q} = 1 - e^{-\omega \frac{A}{Q}}\\ \textbf{Where:}\\ \textbf{n} = efficiency (decimal form)\\ \textbf{o} = migration velocity (ft/sec)\\ \textbf{A} = total collection plate area (ft^2)\\ \textbf{Q} = total gas flow rate (ft^3/sec)\\ \textbf{e} = base of natural logarithm = 2.718\\ \end{array}$

around the fields, and other non-ideal operating conditions.



Table 4-2.         Equations used to estimate collection efficiency and collection area				
Calculation	Deutsch-Anderson	Matts-Ohnfeldt		
Collection efficiency	$\eta \ = \ 1 - e^{-w(A/Q)}$	$\eta = 1 - e^{-w_k (A/Q)^k}$		
Collection area (to meet a required efficiency)	$A = \frac{-Q}{w}[\ln(1-\eta)]$	$A = \left[ -\left(\frac{Q}{w_k}\right)^k [\ln(1-\eta)] \right]^{1/k}$		
Where:	$\begin{array}{ll} \eta &= \mbox{collection efficiency}\\ A &= \mbox{collection area}\\ w &= \mbox{migration velocity}\\ Q &= \mbox{gas flow rate}\\ ln &= \mbox{natural logarithm} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} \eta & = \mbox{collection efficiency} \\ A & = \mbox{collection area} \\ w_k & = \mbox{average migration} \\ velocity \\ k & = \mbox{constant (usually 0.5)} \\ ln & = \mbox{natural logarithm}_{62} \end{array}$		

### Particle (Theoretical) Migration Velocity

The velocity at which a charged particle migrates toward the collecting plate can be calculated by balancing the electrical forces (F<sub>E</sub> = neE) with the drag force on the particle moving through the gas stream, and then solving for the particle (migration) velocity.

$$\omega = \frac{neEC_{c}}{3\pi\mu_{g}d_{p}}$$

 $n = number of charges (n_{field} + n_{diffusion})$ e =charge of the electron  $(e = 4.8 \times 10^{-10})$  statcoulumb E = electric field strength (statvolt/cm) Cc = Cunningham slip correction factor µ = gas viscosity dp = diameter of particle

9 - 63



#### Typical effective particle-migration velocity rates for various applications

	Migrati	Migration velocity	
Application	(ft/sec)	(cm/s)	
Utility fly ash	0.13-0.67	4.0-20.4	
Pulverized coal fly ash	0.33-0.44	10.1-13.4	
Pulp and paper mills	0.21-0.31	6.4-9.5	
Sulfuric acid mist	0.19-0.25	5.8-7.62	
Cement (wet process)	0.33-0.37	10.1-11.3	
Cement (dry process)	0.19-0.23	6.4-7.0	
Gypsum	0.52-0.64	15.8-19.5	
Smelter	0.06	1.8	
Open-hearth furnace	0.16-0.19	4.9-5.8	
Blast furnace	0.20-0.46	6.1-14.0	
Hot phosphorous	0.09	2.7	
Flash roaster	0.25	7.6	
Multiple-hearth roaster	0.26	7.9	
Catalyst dust	0.25	7.6	
Cupola	0.10-0.12	3.0-3.7	

## Example 9-1

Calculate the expected particulate efficiency for an electrostatic precipitator serving a utility coal-fired boiler. The gas flow rate is 250,000 ACFM. The total collection plate area is 100,000 ft2. Use an effective migration velocity of 0.20 ft/sec.

Substituting into the Deutsch-Anderson equation:

$$\eta = 1 - e^{-\frac{e^{\frac{A}{Q}}}{Q}} = 1 - e^{-\left[\left(0.20\frac{\text{ff}}{\text{sec}}\right)\left(\frac{100,000\,\text{ff}^2}{250,000\frac{\text{ff}^2}{\text{min}}\times\frac{\text{min}}{60\,\text{sec}}\right)\right]} = 0.99177$$
  
9-66

Plate Area  

$$A_{i} = 2(n-1)HL$$
Where:  
A\_{i} = collection plate area in field i (ft<sup>2</sup>)  
n = number of collection plates across unit  
H = height of collection plates (ft)  
L = length of collection plate in direction of gas flow (ft)







#### Example 9-2

One electrostatic precipitator serving a coal-fired boiler has a gas stream of 500,000 ACFM, an inlet particulate mass concentration of 2 grains per ACF, and an SCA of 300 ft<sup>2</sup>/1000 ACFM. What is the increase in the emission rate if one of the four fields trips offline due to an internal mechanical-electrical problem? Assume the inlet field has an efficiency of 80%, the two middle fields have an efficiency of 70%, and the outlet field has an efficiency of 60%.

A second electrostatic precipitator serving a similar coal-fired boiler also has a gas flow rate of 500,000 ACFM, an inlet particulate mass concentration of 2 grains per ACF, and an SCA of 300 ft<sup>2</sup>/1000 ACFM. However, this unit only has three fields in series. What is the increase in the emission rate when a field trips offline if the inlet field has an efficiency of 85%, the middle field has an efficiency of 81%, and the outlet field has an efficiency of 75%?

9 - 71

# $\begin{aligned} \textbf{Example 9-2 (cont.)} \\ \text{For the first precipitator, the efficiency of four fields in series during routine operation can be estimated as follows:} \\ \mathbb{E}_{\text{missions}_{\text{Review}}} = \frac{2 \operatorname{grains}}{\operatorname{ACF}} \left( 1 - \frac{\operatorname{eff}_1}{100} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{\operatorname{eff}_2}{100} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{\operatorname{eff}_4}{100} \right) \\ \mathbb{E}_{\text{missions}_{\text{Review}}} = \frac{2 \operatorname{grains}}{\operatorname{ACF}} \left( 1 - \frac{80}{100} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{70}{100} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{70}{100} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{60}{100} \right) \\ \mathbb{E}_{\text{missions}_{\text{Review}}} = \frac{2 \operatorname{grains}}{\operatorname{ACF}} (0.20)(0.30)(0.30)(0.40) = 0.014 \operatorname{grains}/\operatorname{ACF} \end{aligned}$ When one of the four fields is out of service, the performance of the precipitator can be calculated as follows: $\mathbb{E}_{\text{missions}_{\text{Upper}}} = \frac{2 \operatorname{grains}}{\operatorname{ACF}} \left( 1 - \frac{\operatorname{eff}_1}{100} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{\operatorname{eff}_2}{100} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{\operatorname{eff}_4}{100} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{\operatorname{eff}_4}{100} \right) \\ \end{bmatrix}$

Emissions<sub>Upset</sub> =  $\frac{2 \text{ grains}}{\text{ACF}} \left( 1 - \frac{80}{100} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{70}{100} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{70}{100} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{0}{100} \right)$ 







#### Example 9-3

An electrostatic precipitator serving a cement kiln has four fields in series. All of the fields have collection plates that are 24 feet high. The first two fields have collection plate lengths of 9 feet each. The last two fields have collection plate lengths of 6 feet. What is the aspect ratio?

Solution:

$$AR = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} L_i}{H} = \frac{9 + 9 + 6 + 6}{24} = 1.25$$

9 - 76

## **Summary of Sizing Parameters**

able 9-3.Typical Sizing Parameters Dry Negative Corona ESPs			
Sizing Parameter	Common Range		
Specific Collection Area, (ft <sup>2</sup> /1000 ACFM)	400 - 1000		
Number of Fields in Series	3 - 14		
Aspect Ratio	1 - 1.5		
Gas Velocity, ft/sec	3 - 6		
Plate-to-plate spacing, inches <sup>1</sup>	9 - 16		

<sup>1</sup>One manufacturer uses 6 in. spacing

<u>High gas velocities</u> adversely affect the performance of precipitators, reducing the time available for particle charging and migration, and thereby, add to re-entrainment of emissions.

<u>Plate Spacing</u>: improved electrical field strengths could be obtained by increased discharge electrode-to-collection plate spacing



Source	MMD <sub>1</sub> (µm)
Bituminous coal	16
Sub-bituminous coal, tangential boiler	21
Sub-bituminous coal, other boiler types	10 to 15
Cement kiln	2 to 5
Glass plant	1
Wood burning boiler	5
Sinter plant,	50
with mechanical precollector	6
Kraft process recovery	2
Incinerators	15 to 30
Copper reverberatory furnace	1
Copper converter	1
Coke plant combustion stack	1
Unknown	1







## **ESP Performance Evaluation**

- Collection efficiency
- · Specific collection area
- Sectionalization
- Aspect ratio
- · Gas superficial velocity
- Collector plate spacing
- · Discharge electrodes
- Rapping systems
- Hopper design
- · Flue gas conditioning system
- Instrumentation

9 - 83

# Instrumentation

#### Electrical parameters

- Primary voltage, A.C. & Primary current, A.C.
- Secondary voltage, D.C. Secondary current, D.C.
- Spark rate

#### Rapper parameters

- the specific rappers being activated, the presence of any probable rapper activation faults, and the rapping intensities
- Inlet and outlet gas temperature & oxygen concentration
  - often used upstream and downstream of ESPs to detect the onset of air infiltration problems.









## Importance of Capture/Collection Systems

- From Subpart RRR NESHAP for Secondary Aluminum Production § 63.1506
- Capture/collection systems. For each affected source or emission unit equipped with an add-on air pollution control device, the owner or operator must:
- (1) Design and install a system for the capture and collection of emissions to meet the engineering standards for minimum exhaust rates or facial inlet velocities as contained in the ACGIH Guidelines (incorporated by reference see § 63.14);



# Example 10-1

Calculate the fugitive emissions and the stack emissions if the process equipment generates 100 lbm/hr of particulate matter, the hood capture efficiency is 95%, and the collection efficiency of the air pollution control device is 95%.

#### Solution:

Calculate fugitive emissions:

Fugitive emissions = Total emissions – Emissions captured by hood

$$=100\frac{lb_{m}}{hr}-95\frac{lb_{m}}{hr}=5\frac{lb_{m}}{hr}$$








#### **Hood Design Principles**

- Enclose whenever possible
- If can't enclose, place hood close to source
- Locate duct take-offs in the direction of normal contaminate motion

10 - 13

10 - 15



# **Capture Velocities**

Type of Material Release	Capture Velocity (ft/min)
With no velocity into quiet air	50-100
At low velocity into moderately still air	100-200
Active generation into zone of rapid air motion	200-500
With high velocity into zone of very rapid air motion	500-2000



















10 - 26

HOOD TYPE	DESCRIPTION	ASPECT RATIO	AIR VOLUME
Str.	SLOT	0.2 or less	Q-3.7 LVX
	FLANGED SLOT	0.2 or less	Q-2.8 LVX
A-WL(sq. ft.)	PLAIN OPENING	0.2 or greater and round	Q-V(10X +A)
	FLANGED	0.2 or greater and round	Q-0.75V(10X +A)
	воотн	To suit work	Q-VA-VWH
	CANOPY	To suit work	Q-1.4 PDV P-perimeter of work D-height above work
X	PLAIN MULTIPLE SLOT OPENING 2 or more slots	0.2 or greater	Q-V(10X2 +A)
	FLANGED MULTIPLE SLOT OPENING 2 or more slots	0.2 or greater	0-0.75 V(10X2+A)

# For Hot Flow into Hoods

- As the plume rises, it cools and expands and slows down
- Long rise distances make the plume more subject to air currents
- Because of the distance between the source and the hood, air volumes are large

#### Monitoring Hood Capture Effectiveness

- Ways to confirm that the hood capture effectiveness has not decreased since it was installed or tested:
- Visible emission observations for fugitive emissions
- Confirm that the hood has not been moved away from the point of pollutant generation and that side baffles and other equipment necessary to maintain good operation have not been damaged or removed.
- The hood static pressure should be monitored to ensure that the appropriate gas flow rate is being maintained. (The *hood static pressure* is simply the static pressure in the duct immediately downstream from the hood).

10 - 27

# Monitoring Hood Capture Effectiveness (Hood Static Pressure)

$$SP_h = VP_d + h_e$$

Where:

$$\begin{aligned} SP_h &= hood static pressure \\ VP_d &= velocity pressure in duct \\ h_e &= hood entry loss \\ &= F_h VP_d \\ F_h &= hood entry loss factor \end{aligned}$$

#### Monitoring Hood Capture Effectiveness

The velocity pressure term is due to the energy necessary to accelerate the air from zero velocity to the velocity in the duct. The hood entry loss is usually expressed as some fraction of this velocity pressure:

$$h_e = F_h V P_o$$
  
where:

 $F_h = hood entry loss coefficient (dimensionless)$ 

 $VP_d$  = duct velocity pressure (in WC)

Hood entry loss coefficients are tabulated in standard texts on hoods and ventilation systems



#### Vena Contracta

• When air enters a negative pressure duct, the airflow converges as shown on the next slide The area where air converges upon entering a duct is referred to as *vena contracta*. After the vena contracta, the airflow expands to fill the duct and some of the velocity pressure converts to static pressure. The vena contracta is dependent on the hood geometry, which determines the resistance to airflow entering the hood. In general, the smoother the entry, the lower the entry loss coefficient.

10 - 31



# Vena Contracta

The velocity pressure is related to the square of the gas velocity in the duct and the gas density:

 $VP_{d} = \rho_{g} \left( \frac{v_{d}}{1,096.7} \right)$ 

where:

 $VP_d$  = duct velocity pressure (in WC)

 $v_d$  = duct gas velocity (ft/min)

 $\rho g = gas density (lbm/ft3)$ 

As the gas flow rate into the hood increases, the hood static pressure increases. A decrease in hood static pressure (i.e., a less negative value) usually indicates that the gas flow rate entering the hood has decreased from previous levels. This may reduce the effectiveness of the hood by reducing the capture velocities at the hood entrance.

#### Example 10 - 4

A hood serving a paint dipping operation has a hood static pressure of 1.10 in WC. The baseline hood static pressure was 1.70 in WC. Estimate the gas flow rate under the following two conditions: A. At present operating conditions

B. At baseline levels Use the data provided below:  $F_h = 0.93$ 

Temperature =  $68^{\circ}F$ 

Duct diameter = 2 ft (inside diameter)

10 - 34

### Example 10 - 4

#### Solution for Part A:

Calculate the velocity pressure in the duct:

$$SP_{h} = (1 + F_{h})VP_{d}$$

$$VP_{d} = \frac{SP_{h}}{1 + F_{h}} = \frac{1.10 \text{ in WC}}{1 + 0.93} = 0.57 \text{ in WC}$$

Calculate the gas velocity in the duct:

$$\mathbf{P}_{\rm d} = \rho_{\rm g} \left(\frac{\mathbf{V}_{\rm d}}{\mathbf{l},096.7}\right)^2$$

10 - 35

V

Example 10 - 4  

$$v_d = 1,096.7 \sqrt{\frac{VP_d}{\rho_g}} = 1,096.7 \sqrt{\frac{0.57 \text{ in WC}}{0.0747 \frac{\text{lb}_m}{\text{ft}^3}}} = 3,029.5 \frac{\text{ft}}{\text{min}}$$
  
Calculate the gas flow rate:  
 $Q = v_d A_d = v_d \left(\frac{\pi D^2}{4}\right) = 3,029.5 \frac{\text{ft}}{\text{min}} \left[\frac{\pi (2 \text{ft})^2}{4}\right] = 9,517.5 \frac{\text{ft}^3}{\text{min}}$   
10 - 36









A duct system transporting a dry dust requires a minimum transport velocity of 2,800 fl/min. The volumetric flow rate for the system is 978 acfm. What is the necessary duct diameter in inches for this section of ductwork to maintain the minimum transport velocity?

Solution:

Calculate the duct area:

$$A_{d} = \frac{Q}{v_{d}} = \frac{978 \frac{\text{ft}^{3}}{\text{min}}}{2,800 \frac{\text{ft}}{\text{min}}} = 0.349 \text{ft}^{2}$$

Calculate the duct diameter:

$$A_{d} = \frac{\pi D^{2}}{4}$$
$$D = \sqrt{\frac{4A_{d}}{\pi}} = \sqrt{\frac{4(0.349 \text{ ft}^{2})}{\pi}} = 0.667 \text{ ft} = 8 \text{ in}$$



10 - /







#### Fan Drives

The major components of a typical centrifugal fan include the fan wheel, fan housing, drive mechanism, and inlet dampers and/or outlet dampers. A wide variety of fan designs serve different applications.

The fan drive determines the speed of the fan wheel and the extent to which this speed can be varied. The types of fan drives can be grouped into three basic categories:

- Direct drive
- Belt drive
- Variable drive

In a *direct drive* arrangement, the fan wheel is linked directly to the shaft of the motor. This means that the fan wheel speed is identical to the motor rotational speed. With this type of fan drive, the fan speed cannot be varied.































#### Example 10 - 6

The static pressure drop across a ventilation system, measured at the fan inlet, is -16.5 in WC at a gas flow rate of 8,000 acfm. Estimate the static pressure drop if the flow rate is increased to 12,000 acfm.

Solution:

$$\frac{\Delta SP_{ligh flow}}{\Delta SP_{low flow}} = \left(\frac{Q_{ligh flow}}{Q_{low flow}}\right)^2$$

$$\Delta SP_{ligh flow} = \Delta SP_{low flow} \left(\frac{Q_{ligh flow}}{Q_{low flow}}\right)^2 = -16.5 \text{ in WC} \left(\frac{12,000 \text{ ac fm}}{8,000 \text{ ac fm}}\right)^2 = -37.1 \text{ in WC}$$
10 - 65









#### Example 10 - 7

- Note 1: The problem could have been solved quickly by using tabulated values of the gas density. However, this approach also reduces the risk of a gas density error caused by not taking into account the effect of pressure changes.
- Note 2: The gas composition could be taken into account by calculating the weighted average molecular weights of the constituents rather than assuming 29 pounds per pound mole, which is close to the value for air. This correction is important when the gas stream has a high concentration of compounds such as carbon dioxide or water, which have molecular weights that are much different than air.

#### Summary

- Centrifugal fans are the most commonly used type of fan in air pollution control systems because of their ability to generate high pressure rises in the gas stream.
- The major components of a typical centrifugal fan include the fan wheel, fan housing, drive mechanism, and inlet dampers and/or outlet dampers.
- The intersection of the fan characteristic curve and the system characteristic curve is called the operating point for the fan.
- The factors that affect the fan characteristic curve are the type of fan wheel and blade, the fan wheel rotational speed, and the shape of the fan housing. 10-71

# Summary

- System characteristic curves are helpful indicators in determining if a change in the system has occurred. A change in the system can also be detected through the fan motor current data that corresponds with the gas flow rate, provided the system resistance has not changed.
- The fan laws can predict how a fan will be affected by a change in an operating condition.

#### Summary

- The fan laws apply to fans having the same geometric shape and operating at the same point on the fan characteristic curve.
- A fan will move a constant volume of air; however the amount of work required to move the gas flow is dependent on the density of the gas.